UPPER BOUNDS ON THE SIZE OF TRANSITIVE SUBTOURNAMENTS IN DIGRAPHS

KOJI MOMIHARA* AND SHO SUDA†

Abstract. In this paper, we consider upper bounds on the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph. In particular, we give an analogy of Hoffman's bound for the size of cocliques in a regular graph. Furthermore, we partially improve the Hoffman type bound for doubly regular tournaments by using the technique of Greaves and Soicher for strongly regular graphs [4], which gives a new application of block intersection polynomials.

arXiv:1605.02469v1 [math.CO] 9 May 2016

1. Introduction

The problem to find a sharp bound on the size of cliques or cocliques in a graph has been well-studied, and some nontrivial bounds have been known based on linear algebraic techniques, cf. [5, 6]. In particular, it is well-known that if a k-regular graph with v vertices has a coclique of size s, then

$$s \leq -v\lambda_{\min}/(k - \lambda_{\min}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}$ is the minimum eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of G. This bound is an unpublished result of A. J. Hoffman, and so this is known as *Hoffman's bound*. Furthermore, the case where the equality holds in this bound was studied in relation to some combinatorial structures, such as strongly regular graphs and association schemes [6]. The Hoffman bound for strongly regular graphs is sometimes referred to as the Delsarte bound. The Delsarte bound is difficult to improve in general. In fact, until recently, there was only a few classes of strongly regular graphs, for which the bound is improvable. For example, Bachoc, Matolcsi and Ruzsa [1] improved the Delsarte bound for Paley graphs of nonsquare order using the properties of quadratic residues of finite fields. Very recently, it was announced that Greaves and Soicher [4] improved the Delsarte bound for a large class of strongly regular graphs using block-intersection polynomials.

In this paper, we are interested in the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph. The problem to find a sharp bound on the size of transitive subtournaments in a tournament was initially considered by Erdős and Moser [3] in 1964. It is clear that any tournament with v vertices contains a transitive subtournament with $1 + \lfloor \log_2(v) \rfloor$ vertices. This bound is tight for the case where $v = 7$. In fact, the Paley tournament on seven vertices contains no transitive tournament with four vertices. They also proved that there are tournaments with v vertices without a transitive tournament of size $2(1 + \lfloor \log_2(v) \rfloor)$. On the other hand, Reid and Parker [12] showed that the lower bound above is not tight for large v. There have been some studies of upper and lower bounds on the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph to improve Erdős-Moser's bound, cf. [10, 13, 14, 18]. In particular, in [18], it was shown by a simple counting argument that for the maximum size s of transitive subtournaments in a doubly regular tournament with v vertices

$$s \leq \frac{-3 + \sqrt{13 + 12v}}{2}. \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we consider analogies of the Hoffman bound and the Greaves-Soicher bound to digraphs. In particular, as an analogy of the Hoffman bound for regular graphs, we show that if a

Key words and phrases. Transitive subtournament; Regular digraph; Doubly regular tournament; Paley tournament; Hoffman's bound; Block-intersection polynomial.

* Koji Momihara is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number (C)24540013.

† Sho Suda is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K21075.

regular digraph G with v vertices has a transitive subtournament of size s, then

$$s \leq \frac{-3\theta_{\max}^2 + \sqrt{9\theta_{\max}^4 + 4v^2 + 12\theta_{\max}^2 v^2}}{2v},$$

where $\theta_{\max}$ is the maximum eigenvalue of the Seidel matrix of G. As an immediate corollary, we have for a doubly regular tournament $s \leq (-3 + \sqrt{13 + 12v})/2$, which coincides with the bound (1.2). Furthermore, this bound can be partially improved by using Greaves-Soicher's technique for strongly regular graphs [4], which gives a new application of block-intersection polynomials.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce basic facts on spectra of digraphs. In Section 3, we obtain an upper bound on the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph using the "interlacing property" of eigenavalues without any restriction. Section 4 is the main part of this paper, where we consider an analogy of the Hoffman bound to digraphs. In Section 5, we introduce the adjacency polynomials for doubly regular tournaments, and partially improve the Hoffman type bound for doubly regular tournaments, that is, the bound (1.2). Finally, we give some open problems related to this study in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a digraph with v vertices. An *adjacency matrix* A of G is a $v \times v$ matrix whose columns and rows are labeled by the vertices of G, and its entries are defined by

$$A_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $A + A^\top$ is symmetric and $\sqrt{-1}(A - A^\top)$ is Hermitian, where $A^\top$ denotes the transpose of A. The matrix $S_G := \sqrt{-1}(A - A^\top)$ is called the *Seidel matrix* of G. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the all-one vector of length v. If $|\{x \in V : (x, y) \in E\}| = |\{x \in V : (y, x) \in E\}| = k$ for any $y \in V$, then the digraph is called *regular*. This condition is equivalent to the fact that $A \cdot \mathbf{1} = A^\top \cdot \mathbf{1}$ and all entries of the vector $A \cdot \mathbf{1}$ are equal to k.

A digraph is called a *tournament* if $A + A^\top = J - I$, where J is the all-one square matrix of order v and I is the identity matrix of order v. A tournament G is called *doubly regular* if it is $(v-1)/2$-regular and the number of vertices dominated by a pair of two distinct vertices simultaneously is constant, say λ, not depending on the choice of the pair. It is clear that $\lambda = (v-3)/4$, which implies that $v \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. The adjacency matrix A satisfies that

$$AA^\top = \frac{v+1}{4} I + \frac{v-3}{4} J.$$

Noting that $A + A^\top = J - I$, A has the eigenvalues $\frac{v-1}{2}$, $\frac{-1+\sqrt{-v}}{2}$, $\frac{-1-\sqrt{-v}}{2}$. In other words, S_G has $0, \sqrt{v}, -\sqrt{v}$ as its eigenvalues [11, Theorem 2.5].

Since S_G is Hermitian, S_G has only real eigenvalues. Let E_θ be the orthogonal projection matrix of an eigenvalue θ. Then, S_G has the spectral decomposition

$$S_G = \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} \theta E_\theta, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathrm{ev}(G)$ is the set of distinct eigenvalues of S_G. We denote by m_θ the multiplicity corresponding to $\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)$. The *main angle* β_θ is defined to be $\beta_\theta = (1/\sqrt{v})||E_\theta \mathbf{1}||^2$. A *main eigenvalue* of S_G is an eigenvalue θ with $\beta_\theta \neq 0$.

Since S_G is Hermitian and $S_G^\top = -S_G$, E_θ's satisfy the following basic properties.

(i) $E_\theta^2 = E_\theta$ and $E_\theta E_\tau = O$ for $\theta \neq \tau$,
(ii) $S_G E_\theta = \theta E_\theta$,
(iii) $\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} E_\theta = I$,
(iv) if $\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)$, then $-\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)$,

where O denotes the zero matrix of order v.

Define the set $M(G)$ of main eigenvalues to be $M(G) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G) : \beta_\theta \neq 0\}$, and the matrix F_G to be $F_G = \sum_{\theta \in M(G)} E_\theta$. Note that $M(G) \neq \emptyset$ since $\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} \beta_\theta^2 = 1$.

In the rest of this paper, we are interested in the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph. A transitive tournament is a tournament satisfying the following: if $(x, y) \in E$ and $(y, z) \in E$, then $(x, z) \in E$. After reordering the vertices appropriately, we may assume that a transitive tournament has the adjacency matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

3. Interlacing

In this section, we will use the following well-known fact on interlacing of eigenvalues.

Proposition 3.1. ([8, Theorem 4.3.17]) *Let A be a Hermitian matrix of order v with eigenvalues*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_v.$$

Let B be a principal submatrix of A of order m with eigenvalues

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m.$$

Then, the eigenvalues of B interlace those of A, i.e.,

$$\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{v-m+i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Using interlacing of eigenvalues, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a digraph with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Let θ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, v$, be the eigenvalues of S_G with ordering $\theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_v$. Then,*

$$s \leq \frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2\mathrm{arccot}(\theta_i)} \tag{3.1}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$.

Proof: It is easily shown that the eigenvalues of S_Γ are $\cot(\frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2s})$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$. By interlacing for S_G and S_Γ, we have $\cot(\frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2s}) \leq \theta_i$, that is, the inequality (3.1). □

By applying Theorem 3.2 to doubly regular tournaments, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a doubly regular tournament with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Then,*

$$s \leq \frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{arccot}(\sqrt{v})}.$$

Proof: This corollary follows by the fact that $\theta_i = \sqrt{v}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, (v-1)/2$. □

We list the values of $\frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{arccot}(\sqrt{v})}$ for small $v \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ in Table 1. As far as we checked for small cases, this bound is not better than the bound obtained in Section 4. On the other hand, the advantage of Theorem 3.2 is that the result is applicable to general digraphs not computing β_θ's.

v	7	11	15	19	23	27	31	35
$\frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{arccot}(\sqrt{v})}$	4.346	5.363	6.216	6.965	7.641	8.261	8.839	9.380

TABLE 1. The values of $\frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{arccot}(\sqrt{v})}$ for small v.

4. Analogy of Hoffman's bound

In this section we consider an analogy of Hoffman's bound to digraphs. Recall that $M(G) := \{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G) : \beta_\theta \neq 0\}$ and $F_G := \sum_{\theta \in M(G)} E_\theta$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a digraph with v vertices and χ be a $(0,1)$-vector of norm s. Then, $\chi^\top F_G \chi \geq \frac{1}{v}s^2$.*

Proof: By the definition of main angles, the space $F_G\mathbb{R}^v$ contains $\mathrm{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}$. Letting E be the orthogonal projection onto $F_G\mathbb{R}^v \cap \mathrm{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}^\perp$, we have

$$F_G = \frac{1}{v}J + E,$$

from which the result follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a digraph with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Then it holds that*

$$s(s^2-1)/3 \leq \chi^\top S_G S_G^* \chi,$$

with equality if and only if for any vertex $x \notin V(\Gamma)$, the number of vertices in $V(\Gamma)$ dominating x equals to the number of vertices in $V(\Gamma)$ dominated by x.

Proof: After reordering the vertices appropriately, we may set

$$S_G = \begin{pmatrix} S_\Gamma & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$0 \leq \mathbf{1}^\top S_{12} S_{12}^* \mathbf{1} = \chi^\top S_G S_G^* \chi - \mathbf{1}^\top S_\Gamma S_\Gamma^* \mathbf{1} = \chi^\top S_G S_G^* \chi - s(s^2-1)/3.$$

The equality holds if and only if $S_{12}^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$, which is equivalent to the desired condition. □

The following is our main theorem in this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a digraph with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Let $\alpha = \max\{\theta : \theta \in M(G)\}$ and $\gamma = \max\{\theta : \theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G) \setminus M(G)\}$. If $\alpha \leq \gamma$, then*

$$s \leq \frac{3\alpha^2 - 3\gamma^2 + \sqrt{4v^2(1+3\gamma^2) + 9(\gamma^2-\alpha^2)^2}}{2v}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof: Let χ be the characteristic vector of Γ. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\top S_G S_G^* \chi &= \chi^\top \Big(\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} \theta E_\theta \Big) \Big(\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} \theta E_\theta^* \Big) \chi \\
&= \chi^\top \Big(\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G)} \theta^2 E_\theta \Big) \chi \\
&\leq \alpha^2 \chi^\top \Big(\sum_{\theta \in M(G)} E_\theta \Big) \chi + \gamma^2 \chi^\top \Big(\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G) \setminus M(G)} E_\theta \Big) \chi \\
&= \alpha^2 \chi^\top F_G \chi + \gamma^2 \chi^\top \big(I - F_G\big) \chi \\
&= (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2) \chi^\top F_G \chi + \gamma^2 \chi^\top \chi \\
&= (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2) \chi^\top F_G \chi + \gamma^2 s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By the inequality (4.2) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$0 \leq (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2)\chi^\top F_G \chi + \gamma^2 s - s(s^2-1)/3. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $\alpha \leq \gamma$, the desired inequality follows from Lemma 4.1 and the inequality (4.3). □

We now consider the case where G is a regular digraph. Then $M(G) = \{0\}$ and $\gamma = \max\{\theta : \theta \in \mathrm{ev}(G) \setminus M(G)\}$ is equal to the maximum eigenvalue of S_G. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a regular digraph with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Then,*

$$s \leq \frac{-3\theta_{\max}^2 + \sqrt{9\theta_{\max}^4 + 4v^2 + 12\theta_{\max}^2 v^2}}{2v}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\theta_{\max}$ is the maximum eigenvalue of the Seidel matrix of G.

Next, we move on to the case where G is a regular tournament. Since $A = \frac{-\sqrt{-1}S_G + J - I}{2}$ and $S_G + S_G^\top = O$, we have

$$(A + A^\top)\chi = (J - I)\chi = s\mathbf{1} - \chi.$$

Hence, for any $x \notin V(\Gamma)$

$$|\{y \in V(\Gamma) : (x,y) \in E\}| + |\{y \in V(\Gamma) : (y,x) \in E\}| = s.$$

On the other hand, if the equality holds in the inequality (4.4), we have

$$|\{y \in V(\Gamma) : (x,y) \in E\}| = |\{y \in V(\Gamma) : (y,x) \in E\}|.$$

Therefore, s must be even. Summing up, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a regular tournament with v vertices. If the equality holds in the inequality (4.4), then s is even.*

Also, by applying Corollary 4.4 to doubly regular tournaments, we have the following.

Corollary 4.6. *Let G be a doubly regular tournament with v vertices and Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Then, it holds that*

$$s \leq \frac{-3 + \sqrt{13 + 12v}}{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof: The corollary follows from the fact that $\mathrm{ev}(S_G) = \{0, \pm\sqrt{v}\}$ [11, Theorem 2.5]. □

In Table 2, we computed the maximum size of transitive subtournaments in a known doubly regular tournament with v vertices for small v. In the row of "maximum", we found a doubly regular tournament with a transitive subtournament having the indicated number of vertices in known doubly regular tournaments given in [9]. Here, the upper bound $s \leq 6$ for $v = 23$ is obtained by Corollary 4.5. Also, we list the maximum size of transitive subtournaments in the Paley tournament. Here, the Paley tournament is defined as follows: Let q be a prime power congruent to 3 modulo 4, and let C_0 be the set of nonzero squares of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The *Paley tournament of order q* is the tournament with the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$ as vertices; $(x,y) \in E$ if and only if $x - y \in C_0$. See [13] for further computational results on the maximum size of transitive subtournaments in the Paley tournament.

Let G be a doubly regular tournament with v vertex and x be a vertex of G. Let G' be the induced subgraph with vertex set $V(G) \setminus \{x\}$ of G. Then the tournament G' has $v-1$ vertices and is biregular. Furthermore, by [11, Theorem 1.1], the spectrum of the tournament G' is

$$\mathrm{ev}(G') = \{\pm\sqrt{v}, \pm 1\}, \quad \beta_{\pm\sqrt{v}} = 0, \quad \beta_{\pm 1} = 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

By applying Theorem 4.3 as $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{v}$, we have

$$s \leq \frac{-3 + \sqrt{25 + 12v}}{2} = \frac{-3 + \sqrt{13 + 12(v+1)}}{2},$$

v	7	11	15	19	23	27	31	35
#	1	1	2	2	37	722	≥ 5	≥ 486
upper bound	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	8
maximum	3	4	5	5	6	7	≥ 7	≥ 7
Paley	3	4	-	5	5	5	7	-

TABLE 2. The size of transitive subtournaments in a doubly regular tournament

which coincides with the bound (4.5).

5. Bounds from block intersection polynomials

The concept of *block intersection polynomials* was first introduced by Cameron and Soicher in [2]. For a non-negative integer k, define the polynomial

$$P(x,k) := x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1).$$

Thus, for n a non-negative integer, $\binom{n}{k} = P(n,k)k!$. For real number sequences $M = [m_0,\ldots,m_s]$, $\Lambda = [\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_t]$ with $t \leq s$, define the *block intersection polynomial*

$$B(x,M,\Lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{t} \binom{t}{j} P(-x,t-j)\Big(P(s,j)\lambda_j - \sum_{i=j}^{s} P(i,j)m_i\Big). \tag{5.1}$$

Cameron-Soicher [2] and Soicher [15] proved the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let s and t be non-negative integers with $s \geq t$, let $n_0,\ldots,n_s$, $m_0,\ldots,m_s$, and $\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_t$ be real numbers, such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{i}{j} n_i = \binom{s}{j}\lambda_j, \quad j = 0,1,\ldots,t,$$

and let $B(x)$ be the block intersection polynomial defined in (5.1)*. If $m_i \leq n_i$ for all i, then $B(b) \geq 0$ for every integer b.*

See [15] for further properties of block intersection polynomials.

Cameron and Soicher [2] discussed the multiplicity of a block in a t-design using block intersection polynomials. Soicher [15, 16] defined adjacency polynomials for edge-regular graphs as a special form of block intersection polynomials and discussed the existence of cliques in edge-regular graphs. Very recently, Greaves and Soicher [4] announced that they improved the Hoffman bound for strongly regular graphs using adjacency polynomials. In this section, we define adjacency polynomials for digraphs and give an improved bound of the Hoffman type bound given in Corollary 4.6 for doubly regular tournaments.

5.1. **Adjacency polynomials for doubly regular tournaments.** Let G be a doubly regular tournament with v vertices. Note that $v = 4m-1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the number of vertices dominated by each vertex is $k = 2m-1$, and the number of vertices dominated by two distinct vertices simultaneously is $\lambda = m-1$.

Let Γ be a transitive subtournament of G with s vertices. For $D \subseteq V(G)$, let

$$\lambda_D := |\{q \in V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma) : D \subseteq N(q)\}|,$$

where $N(q)$ is the set of vertices dominating q, and for $0 \leq j \leq s$, set

$$\lambda_j := \frac{\sum_{D \in \binom{V(\Gamma)}{j}} \lambda_D}{\binom{s}{j}}.$$

Then, it is clear that $\lambda_0 = |V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma)| = v - s$ and

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{sk}{s} - \frac{1}{s}\sum_{a \in V(\Gamma)} \mathrm{outdeg}_\Gamma(a) = k - \frac{s-1}{2},$$

where $\mathrm{outdeg}_\Gamma(a)$ is the outdegree of $a \in V(\Gamma)$ in Γ. Furthermore, we have

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda - \frac{2}{s(s-1)} \sum_{a,b \in V(\Gamma); a \neq b} \mathrm{dom}_\Gamma(a,b),$$

where $\mathrm{dom}_\Gamma(a,b)$ is the number of vertices of Γ dominated by a and b. Since Γ is transitive, we have $\sum_{a,b \in V(\Gamma); a \neq b} \mathrm{dom}_\Gamma(a,b) = \binom{s}{3}$. Hence, $\lambda_2 = \lambda - \frac{s-2}{3}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n_i = |\{q \in V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma) : |N(q) \cap V(\Gamma)| = i\}|$, $0 \leq i \leq s$. Then, for $j = 0, 1, 2$*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{i}{j} n_j = \binom{s}{j} \lambda_j.$$

Proof: For $j = 0, 1, \ldots, s$, we count in two ways the number N_j of ordered pairs (q, D), where $q \in V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma)$ and D is a j-subset of $N(q) \cap V(\Gamma)$.

Each j-subset D of $V(\Gamma)$ contributes exactly λ_D pairs of the form $(-, D)$ to N_j. Hence, by the definition of λ_j,

$$N_j = \sum_{D \in \binom{V(\Gamma)}{j}} \lambda_D = \binom{s}{j} \lambda_j.$$

On the other hand, each $q \in V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma)$ contributes exactly $\binom{|N(q) \cap V(\Gamma)|}{j}$ pairs of the form $(q, -)$ to N_j. Hence, by the definition of n_i,

$$N_j = \sum_{q \in V(G) \setminus V(\Gamma)} \binom{|N(q) \cap V(\Gamma)|}{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{i}{j} n_i.$$

This completes the proof. □

By the lemma above, the integers $n_0, \ldots, n_s$, $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 5.1. Define

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &= B(x, [0^{s+1}], [v - s, k - \frac{s-1}{2}, \lambda - \frac{s-2}{3}]) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2} \binom{2}{j} P(-x, 2-j) P(s, j) \lambda_j \\ &= x(x+1)(v-s) - 2xs(k - (s-1)/2) + s(s-1)(\lambda - (s-2)/3). \end{aligned}$$

We now define the *adjacency polynomial* for doubly regular tournaments with $v = 4m - 1$ vertices:

$$C(x, y) = x(x+1)(4m - 1 - y) - 2xy(2m - (y+1)/2) + y(y-1)(m - (y+1)/3).$$

Then, we have the following result by Theorem 5.1:

Proposition 5.3. *Let G be a doubly regular tournament with $v = 4m - 1$ vertices. If G contains a transitive subtournament of size s, then $C(b, s) \geq 0$ for all integers b.*

By this proposition, if $C(b, s) < 0$ for some integer b, then G can not contain a transitive subtournament of size s. For example, put $y = 3$ and $m = 2$, and then $C(x, 3) = 4(x-1)^2 \geq 0$. On the other hand, we have $C(x, 4) = 3x^2 - 9x + 4$ and $C(1, 4) < 0$. Hence, a doubly regular tournament with seven vertices can not contain a transitive subtournament of size 4.

5.2. **Improved bound for doubly regular tournaments.** By solving the equation $C(x,y)=0$ for x, we have

$$x=\frac{3(-1+4m-y)(-1+y)\pm\sqrt{-3(-1+4m-y)(-1+y)(-3+12m-4y-y^2)}}{6(-1+4m-y)}.$$

Since we can assume that $1<y<4m-1$, $C(x,y)$ is nonnegative if $3-12m+4y+y^2\le 0$, i.e., $-2-\sqrt{1+12m}\le y\le -2+\sqrt{1+12m}$.

Put

$$z_{m,y}:=\frac{\sqrt{-3(-1+4m-y)(-1+y)(-3+12m-4y-y^2)}}{6(-1+4m-y)}.$$

To find a bound on the size of transitive subtournaments, we need to find an integral x in the interval $((-1+y)/2-z_{m,y},(-1+y)/2+z_{m,y})$ for some integer $y>-2+\sqrt{1+12m}$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a doubly regular tournament with $v=4m-1$ vertices and let Γ be a transitive subtournament of size s in G. Let $\epsilon:=\sqrt{1+12m}-\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$. The, the following hold.*

(1) $s\le -1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$.
(2) $s\le -2+\sqrt{1+12m}$ *if* $\epsilon=0$.
(3) $s\le -2+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ *if* $\epsilon\ne 0$ *and* $-1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ *is odd.*
(4) $s\le -2+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ *if* $\epsilon\ne 0$ *and* $\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor>(-1+\sqrt{1+48m})/2$.

Proof: (1) If $\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ is even, put $(x,y)=((\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor)/2,\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor)$. Then,

$$C(x,y)=-\frac{1}{12}(-\epsilon+\sqrt{1+12m})(3-3\epsilon+\epsilon^2+3\sqrt{1+12m}-2\epsilon\sqrt{1+12m})<0.$$

If $\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ is odd, put $(x,y)=((\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor-1)/2,\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor)$. Then,

$$C(x,y)=\frac{1}{12}(-2+\epsilon)(-1-\epsilon+\sqrt{1+12m})(2-\epsilon+2\sqrt{1+12m})<0.$$

Then, by Proposition 5.3, the assertion follows.

(2) Since $C((-1+\sqrt{1+12m})/2,-1+\sqrt{1+12m})=-m<0$, the assertion follows.

(3) Put $y=-1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$. Since $-1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor>-2+\sqrt{1+12m}$ and $(-1+y)/2$ is integer, we have $C((-1+y)/2,-1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor)<0$.

(4) If $2z_{m,y}>1$, then we can find an integral x in the interval $((-1+y)/2-z_{m,y},(-1+y)/2+z_{m,y})$. The bound $2z_{m,y}>1$ is equivalent to $2-12m+3y+y^2>0$, i.e., $y>(-3+\sqrt{1+48m})/2$. By substituting $y=-1+\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor$ into this inequality, we have $\lfloor\sqrt{1+12m}\rfloor>(-1+\sqrt{1+48m})/2$. The proof is now complete. □

For example, for $v=27$, we have $\sqrt{1+12m}=9.21954....$ In this case, the condition of Theorem 5.4 (4) is satisfied, and we have $s\le 7$.

Remark 5.5. *Theorem 5.4 (3) is particularly important because the result can improve the bound* (4.5). *For example, in the case where $v=71$, Theorem 5.4 (3) says that $s\le 12$ while the bound* (4.5) *says that $s\le 13$.*

6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we gave upper bounds on the size of transitive subtournaments in a digraph. In particular, we obtained an analogy of Hoffman's bound to digraphs. Furthermore, we partially improved the Hoffman type bound for doubly regular tournaments by applying block intersection polynomials, and thus we found a new application of block intersection polynomials.

Interesting problems which are worth looking into as future works are listed below.

- We could not find any example of digraphs attaining the equality of the upper bound (4.3). Find examples of such digraphs or prove the nonexistnce of such digraphs.

- Bachoc et al. [1] improved the Hoffman bound for the Paley graphs by using the properties of quadratic residues of finite fields. Find an analogy of Bachoc et al.'s result to the Paley tournaments.
- Hoffman [7] gave a bound on the chromatic number of a graph. In particular, it was proved that for any graph G, $\chi(G) \geq 1 - \lambda_{\max}/\lambda_{\min}$, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G, and $\lambda_{\max}$ and $\lambda_{\min}$ are the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of G, respectively. Find an analogy of this bound to digraphs. Here, the chromatic number of a digraph is defined to be the minimum number of disjoint transitive subtournaments covering all vertices.

References

[1] C. Bachoc, M. Matolcsi, I. Z. Ruzsa, Squares and difference sets in finite fields, *Integers* **13** (2013), Paper No. A77, 5pp.

[2] P. Cameron, L. H. Soicher, Block intersection polynomials, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), 559–564.

[3] P. Erdős, L. Moser, On the representation of directed graphs as unions of orderings, *Magrar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* **9** (1964), 125–132.

[4] G. Greaves, L. H. Soicher, On the clique number of a strongly regular graph, preprint, arXiv:1604.08299.

[5] W. H. Haemers, *Eigenvalue techniques in design and graph theory* (thesis Technical University Eindhoven, 1979), Math. Centre Tract 121, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1980.

[6] W. H. Haemers, *Matrix techniques for strongly regular graphs and related geometries*, lecture note at the Intensive Course on Finite Geometry and Applications, University of Ghent, 2000.

[7] A. J. Hoffman, On eigenvalues and colorings of graphs, in *Graph Theory and its Applications*, Acd. Press, New York, 1970, 79–91.

[8] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. xviii+643 pp.

[9] B. MacKay, Combinatorial Data, `http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~bdm /data/digraphs.html`.

[10] J. W. Moon, On maximal transitive subtournaments, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **17** (1970/1971), 345–349.

[11] H. Nozaki, S. Suda, A characterization of skew Hadamard matrices and doubly regular tournaments, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **437** (2012), 1050–1056.

[12] K. B. Reid, E. T. Parker, Disproof of a conjecture of Erdős and Moser, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **9** (1970), 225–238.

[13] A. Sanchez-Flores, On tournaments free of large transitive subtournaments, *Graphs Combin.* **14** (1998), 181–200.

[14] A. Sanchez-Flores, On tournaments and their largest transitive subtournaments, *Graphs Combin.* **10** (1994), 367–376.

[15] L. H. Soicher, More on block intersection polynomials and new applications to graphs and block designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010), 799–809.

[16] L. H. Soicher, On cliques in edge-regular graphs, *J. Algebra* **421** (2015), 260–267.

[17] T. Storer, *Cyclotomy and difference sets*, Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, Markham Publishing Company, Chicago, 1960.

[18] C. Tabib, About the inequalities of Erdős and Moser on the largest transitive subtournaments of a tournament, *Combinatoire énumérative*, 308–320, Lecture Notes in Math., **1234**, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

* Faculty of Education, Kumamoto University, 2-40-1 Kurokami, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan
E-mail address: momihara@educ.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

† Department of Mathematics Education, Aichi University of Education, 1 Hirosawa, Igaya-cho, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542, Japan
E-mail address: suda@auecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp